



Community Project Fund and Budget Setting Task Group

**Friday, 16th October, 2026 at 9.30 am
in the Meeting Room 2-4 - Second Floor, King's Court,
Chapel Street, King's Lynn**

Reports marked to follow on the Agenda and/or Supplementary Documents

1. **Councillor Community Grant Bids October 2026 (Pages 2 - 68)**

Contact

Democratic Services
Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk
King's Court
Chapel Street
King's Lynn
Norfolk
PE30 1EX
Tel: 01553 616394
Email: democratic.services@west-norfolk.gov.uk

TASK GROUP REPORT

REPORT TO:	Councillor Community Grants and Budget Setting Task Group		
DATE:	16 October 2026		
TITLE:	Councillor Community Grant Bids October 2026		
TYPE OF REPORT:	Scrutiny		
PORTFOLIO(S):	Cllr Chris Morley – Finance Portfolio Holder		
REPORT AUTHOR:	Carl Holland - Assistant Director - Finance (Deputy S151)		
OPEN/EXEMPT	Open	WILL BE SUBJECT TO A FUTURE CABINET REPORT:	Yes

REPORT SUMMARY/COVER PAGE

PURPOSE OF REPORT/SUMMARY:
To provide details of Council Community Project Fund bids received and scoring against evaluation criteria for consideration by the Councillor Community Grants and Budget Setting Task Group.
KEY ISSUES:
Following a bid submission window that ran from 31 July 2026 to 2 October 2026, officers have evaluated the bids received. This report provides a summary of the bids received and details of the scoring against the criteria set out for the scheme. The Task Group is asked to consider and support that the bids received are allocated up to the value of the funds available, based on evaluation score awarded.
BACKGROUND:
The Officer Evaluation Task Group has scored and then subsequently ranked the projects on score achieved. This is shown in appendices A to D. Appendix E provides an individual summary of each application received, drawing together the key information submitted by applicants to support consideration by the Task Group. The value of bids received exceeds the £500,000 funds made available by Full Council at its meeting on 26 February 2026 by £151,833 above the funds available. Appendix A details those bids with a score of 50 or higher and they return a funding cost of £398,879 for projects delivering value of £1,098,918. Appendix B – details applications with a score of 50 or higher, but officers have recommended rejection of the bid as noted in officer comments. Appendix C details those bids scoring 49 or below. Appendix D details those bids scoring 49 or below and officers recommend rejection.

The Task Group may, following discussion and consideration of the individual applications, recommend changes to the officer-assessed prioritisation, including recommending applications from Appendices B, C or D for approval.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Task Group is asked to:

1. Consider the officer evaluation and ranking of the applications set out in Appendices A and B
2. Agree which applications should be recommended to Cabinet for approval, ensuring that the total recommended funding does not exceed the available budget of £500,000.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

To enable the Task Group to make a recommendation to Cabinet of the bids it considers should be approved under the 2026/2027 Councillor Community Grants scheme.

REPORT DETAIL

1. Introduction

1.1. The Community Project Fund is designed to support councillors in delivering community-led projects that improve local facilities, enhance wellbeing and strengthen community resilience across the Borough. Projects should demonstrate a clear and measurable community benefit.

1.2. The Task Group Terms of Reference provide that the Group will:

- Receive applications from Borough Councillors on behalf of eligible applicants.
- Assess the applications against the agreed criteria.
- Make recommendations to Cabinet in relation to the awarding of grants from the Community Project Fund.

1.3. Officers received and evaluated 48 submissions to a value of £651,833, for projects with a value of £1,862,983. The task group is advisory with no delegated decision-making powers and are asked to consider and agree its recommendations to Cabinet. Cabinet meets on 17 November 2026, where the decisions in relation to the fund will be made.

2. Background Information

2.1. The published guidance for the Community Project Fund set out the eligibility requirements and parameters for the scheme. The key requirements are summarised below:

- The fund will support projects that can demonstrate a clear public benefit and address an identifiable community need.
- Projects must be completed by 31 March 2028.
- Examples of projects that may be supported include, but are not limited to:
 - improvements to community buildings, play areas or sports facilities;
 - projects enhancing local green spaces or environmental sustainability;
 - activities promoting health, wellbeing or social inclusion;
 - community safety initiatives; and
 - digital or infrastructure improvements where there is a direct community benefit.

2.2. A key feature of the scheme is providing councillors with the opportunity to support projects within their wards. All applications require councillor endorsement. Applications may seek:

- Revenue funding of up to £5,000
- Capital funding of up to £25,000.

2.3. A summary of the evaluation criteria used is shown in the following table.

Criterion	Points	What good looks like
Community Need & Evidence	15	Clear local need supported by evidence, consultation, demand data or community support.
Community Benefit & Impact	15	Meaningful, measurable benefits for local people, with good reach and impact.
Value for Money	15	Strong outcomes for the funding requested, including matched funding or in-kind support where available.
Deliverability & Readiness	15	Realistic plan, clear timetable, manageable risks and capacity to deliver by 31 March 2028.
Rural Balance & Fair Distribution	10	Fair geographic distribution, particularly supporting rural or underserved communities.
Eligibility & Financial Position	10	Meets fund rules and demonstrates an appropriate financial position and genuine need for grant support.
Sustainability & Longer-Term Benefit	20	Lasting outcomes, a strong legacy or self-sustaining community benefit beyond the funding period.

3. Issues for the Task Group to Consider

3.1 The Task Group is asked to consider the officer evaluation of the applications, together with the individual application summaries and the scoring and ranking set out in appendices to this report.

3.2 Applications have been assessed against the published evaluation criteria and ranked according to the score achieved. For the purposes of presenting the evaluation results, applications scoring 50 or above have been identified as the initial priority for consideration for funding, with applications scoring 49 or below presented separately.

3.3 The scoring provides a consistent and evidence-based framework to assist the Task Group but does not, in itself, determine whether an application should or should not be recommended for funding. The Task Group retains discretion to consider the merits of all applications received, including those scoring below 50, having regard to the evaluation criteria, the information provided within the application and any further clarification or assurance provided.

3.4 Where the Task Group considers that an application scoring below 50 should nevertheless be recommended for funding, it should identify the reasons for doing so. This may include consideration of the particular community need or benefit,

geographic distribution, the overall balance of awards or other relevant matters arising from its consideration of the application.

3.5 Similarly, an application scoring 50 or above does not create an automatic entitlement to funding. Recommendations remain subject to the availability of funding and, where applicable, satisfactory resolution of any outstanding clarification, evidence or assurance requirements identified through the evaluation process.

3.6 The Task Group is invited to seek assurance from officers on individual applications, evaluation scores or the assessment process and may propose amendments to the applications recommended for funding following its consideration.

3.7 The total value of applications recommended for funding must remain within the approved Community Project Fund budget of £500,000.

3.8 Following its consideration, the Task Group will agree the applications it wishes to recommend to Cabinet. The Task Group is advisory and has no delegated authority to approve grant awards, with final decisions on the allocation of funding being made by Cabinet.

4. Corporate Priorities

4.1 The Community Projects Fund supports the Council's Corporate Priorities by investing in projects that strengthen communities, improve local facilities, enhance health and wellbeing, promote community resilience, support rural areas and deliver long-term social value. The fund enables local organisations to address identified community needs while ensuring the effective and sustainable use of public resources.

5. Financial Implications

5.1 Full Council, at its meeting on 26 February 2026, approved the establishment of a Councillor Community Projects Fund of £500,000 within the 2026/27 budget.

5.2 A total of 48 applications has been received, requesting grant funding of £651,833 towards projects with a stated total value of £1,862,983. The value of funding requested therefore exceeds the available budget by £151,833.

5.3 Applications achieving an evaluation score of 50 or above request total funding of £480,914 towards projects with a stated total value of £1,595,841. If all these applications were recommended and subsequently approved by Cabinet at the amounts requested, £19,086 of the approved fund would remain unallocated.

5.4 The final financial position will depend upon the recommendations made by the Task Group and the decisions subsequently taken by Cabinet. The total value of awards must not exceed the available budget of £500,000.

5.5 Any awards requiring further clarification or assurance should be made conditional upon the relevant matters being resolved to the satisfaction of the appropriate officer before payment is released. Grant offer letters will set out the

approved purpose, payment arrangements, monitoring requirements and the Council's rights in relation to unspent or ineligible expenditure.

5.6 Expenditure will be monitored against the approved fund, with any unallocated balance or funding subsequently recovered dealt with in accordance with the Council's agreed financial arrangements.

6. Any other Implications/Risks

6.1 Applications have been evaluated by officers against the published eligibility requirements and evaluation criteria. The principal risks associated with the scheme are that a project is not delivered as proposed, costs increase, match funding is not secured, required permissions or legal interests are not in place, expenditure is not eligible, or the intended community benefits are not achieved.

6.2 Where an application is recommended subject to further clarification or assurance, this will be identified as a condition of the award. No payment will be made until the relevant requirements have been satisfied to the Council's satisfaction.

6.3 Each successful applicant will be required to enter into a grant agreement or accept a formal grant offer setting out the approved purpose, the amount awarded, the period for delivery, monitoring and evidence requirements, and the circumstances in which funding may be withheld or recovered. This will include provisions relating to unspent grant, expenditure outside the approved purpose, failure to complete the project and failure to comply with the terms of the award.

6.4 Applicants will remain responsible for securing all necessary permissions, consents, licences, funding and legal agreements required to deliver their projects. Approval of grant funding by the Council will not constitute approval under any separate regulatory or statutory process.

6.5 Appropriate due diligence and proportionate monitoring will be undertaken, having regard to the value, nature and risk of each award.

7. Equal Opportunity Considerations

7.1 The fund is intended to support projects that deliver benefits for local communities, including projects promoting health, wellbeing, accessibility and social inclusion. Individual applications have been considered against the published criteria, including the nature and reach of the proposed community benefit.

7.2 Applicants are responsible for ensuring that funded activities and facilities comply with relevant equality and accessibility requirements. Where appropriate, specific equality or accessibility matters may be addressed through conditions attached to an award.

7.3 Information about the scheme was published on the Council's website and promoted through Borough Councillors within their wards. Support was available where assistance was required with the administration of an application.

8. Environmental Considerations

8.1 The fund itself does not give rise to a single direct environmental impact. Environmental effects will depend upon the nature of the individual projects supported. Some applications may deliver positive environmental outcomes, including improvements to green spaces, energy efficiency or local sustainability.

8.2 Applicants will remain responsible for securing all necessary planning permissions, environmental consents, licences and other regulatory approvals. Where relevant, environmental risks and benefits will be considered as part of the assessment and conditions of award.

9. Consultation

9.1 The establishment of the fund was approved as part of the Council's consideration of its 2026/27 budget. The scheme was subsequently promoted through Borough Councillors and published information.

9.2 Evidence of local engagement, consultation, demand or community support has been considered within the Community Need and Evidence criterion. The nature and extent of that evidence varies between applications and is reflected in the individual evaluation scores and application summaries.

10. Conclusion

10.1 The fund has attracted significant interest, with 48 applications seeking £651,833 against an available budget of £500,000. The applications have been evaluated against the published criteria to support a consistent and evidence-based prioritisation process.

10.2 The Task Group is invited to consider the evaluation results, seek any further assurance it requires and agree the applications it wishes to recommend to Cabinet. In doing so, the Task Group should have regard to the evaluation scores, eligibility and deliverability of individual projects, any outstanding conditions or assurance requirements, the overall distribution and balance of the proposed awards, and the requirement to remain within the available budget.

11. Background Papers

The Budget, Financial Strategy and Council Tax Resolution – Council 26 February 2026

Ref	Organisation	Project Name — Brief Description of Project	Project Cost	Amount Requested	Community Need & Evidence	Community Benefit & Impact	Value for Money	Deliverability & Readiness	Rural Balance & Fair Distribution	Eligibility & Financial Position	Sustainability & Longer Term Benefit	TOTAL Points	Officer Opinion	Revenue/ Capital	Councillor Supporting	Comments - Officer Group
			£	£	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 20 points)					
002	Barroway Drove Village Hall	Barroway Drove Village Hall Toilet Refurbishment and Accessibility Improvement — Refurbishment of Toilets	£30,000	£25,000	15	12	11	14	10	8	17	87	Approve	Capital	Vivienne Spikings	Only one quotation has been provided.
013	Downham Market Sports Federation	Transforming Downham Market Sports Federation into a Community Hub — Extensive refurbishment to Downham Market Pavilion	£33,342	£25,000	14	12	13	14	8	8	18	87	Approve	Capital	Andy Bullen	AWN funding and Borough Council funding now represent the same source and should not be counted separately.
018	Harpley Village Hall	Harpley Village Hall Cinema - Community Audio Visual Equipment — Purchase & installation of audio visual equipment, in order to provide community cinema (application above)	£6,000	£6,000	14	12	12	14	10	7	18	87	Approve	Capital	Alistair Beales	Survey evidence is strong, volunteer time is substantial, and the equipment offers clear long-term benefits, although the in-kind contribution has no cash match value.
042	Thornham Sports Pavilion	Completion of Sports Pavilion — Completion of building and renovation works	£515,000	£25,000	12	11	15	15	8	8	18	87	Approve	Capital	Tom de Winton	No supporting evidence of community support has been provided, although current usage offers some indication of demand. A constitution and accounts have not been submitted; however, the Memorandum and Articles of Association are available
016	Great Massingham Car Scheme	Great Massingham Area Community Car Scheme — Ongoing running costs of car scheme	£13,611	£5,000	14	12	15	13	10	7	15	86	Approve	Revenue	Chris Morley	Match funding supports value for money. The proposal could restore free medical support not covered by the existing NCC SLA, which does not meet current demand.
033	Purfleet Trust	South Lynn Community Centre — Development of South Lynn Community Centre into an accessible community hub, including housing the Purfleet Pantry	£24,700	£24,700	15	12	13	14	4	8	18	84	Approve	Capital	Jo Rust	Several funding contributions are secured, and the plan aims to reach 3,000 clients and become self-sustaining. Rural relevance is limited, but the project serves an area of deprivation.
017	Harpley Village Hall	Harpley Village Hall Cinema - First year Community Film Programme — Establish a Community Cinema and permanent audio visual facility	£1,200	£1,200	14	12	12	14	10	5	15	82	Approve	Revenue	Alistair Beales	The proposal addresses social isolation and may develop into an income-generating activity, but it is a one-year programme supported only by in-kind contributions, with no cash match funding.
003	Boughton Parish Council	Boughton Pond Restoration Project — Various works to the pond	£8,176	£6,000	12	8	12	14	9	9	16	80	Approve	Capital	Sue Lintern	Clarification is required on the reported £970 already spent, including excavation and signage. Match funding should be included in total project cost, and contingency should be excluded.
005	Castle Acre Village Hall	Raise the Roof — Repairs to roof	£37,875	£8,150	10	10	12	12	10	8	18	80	Approve	Capital	Alistair Beales	The community benefit score reflects that the hall remains operational during the proposed works. Unclear how many of the reported 1,000 beneficiaries are unique individuals and why is the lowest-priced quotation not selected.
026	North Wootton Village Hall	North Wootton Village Hall - Air conditioning & Solar panels — Installation of air conditioning & solar panels	£27,058	£24,357	10	12	11	10	8	9	20	80	Approve	Capital	Richard Coates	The need for planning permission requires confirmation. The organisation has limited available funds but is providing match funding.
039	Stoke Ferry	Stoke Ferry Common - Preserving a Community Asset — Purchase of common in Stoke Ferry	£24,100	£19,000	12	10	11	8	9	10	18	78	Approve	Capital	Sue Lintern	Consultation showed 40% opposition to the parking area, while some users access the site by bicycle. Match funding is identified, but planning risk remains and the purpose of the CIL application requires clarification.
034	Rudhams & District Village Hall	Refurbishment of Toilets — Refurbishment of Toilets	£14,520	£14,520	12	11	8	11	10	7	18	77	Approve	Capital	Chris Morley	No match funding is identified.

Ref	Organisation	Project Name — Brief Description of Project	Project Cost	Amount Requested	Community Need & Evidence	Community Benefit & Impact	Value for Money	Deliverability & Readiness	Rural Balance & Fair Distribution	Eligibility & Financial Position	Sustainability & Longer Term Benefit	TOTAL Points	Officer Opinion	Revenue/ Capital	Councillor Supporting	Comments - Officer Group
			£	£	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 20 points)					
022	King's Lynn Creative Studios	Creative Foundations - Inclusive Creativity & Connection for King's Lynn — Delivery and equip inclusive creative & music provision for Kings Lynn	£29,164	£21,304	10	12	12	14	5	9	14	76	Approve	Capital	Deborah Henegan	Demand is indicated but based on limited first-year participation. Confirmation is required that the £7,860 in-kind contribution is included in total costs, together with clearer funding and rural-reach information; the early-stage project carries delivery risk.
036	Terrington St Clement Church	Corner Room at the back of the Church — To build a new structure in the narthex of the church	£30,000	£10,500	7	8	12	12	8	8	18	73	Approve	Capital	Paul Kunes	Delivery is subject to a 22 December faculty deadline. The £4,000 contingency should not be funded; any award should be capped and evidence-based. Six other grant applications create a potential double-funding risk and should be resolved before an award.
043	Tilney St Lawrence Village Hall & Community Hub	Community Winter Warm Hub — Winter warm space	£3,762	£6,692	10	13	10	10	10	8	11	72	Approve	Capital	Barry Ayres	The financial figures and requested amount are unclear, with no capital/revenue split or breakdown of items such as staff transport. The panel supports the proposal in principle, subject to financial clarification.
020	Hunstanton Sailing Club	Watersports Portable Cabin — Removal and replacement of watersports portable cabin	£16,664	£13,184	11	12	11	8	6	6	17	71	Approve	Capital	Angie Dickinson	Planning permission and alternative funding sources are not confirmed. Any award should be conditional on planning permission being secured.
019	Howdale Pax	Howdale Pax Heating & Electrics — Electrical works & replacement of outdated heating equipment	£17,734	£15,415	10	12	12	7	6	8	15	70	Approve	Capital	Josie Ratcliffe	Previous use is evidenced, although no additional consultation or risk assessment is provided. Planning permission for the solar panels and the rural/urban beneficiary split require clarification; approval could be conditional on planning permission.
011	Downham Market & District Food Bank	Downham Market & District Food Bank — General running costs	£56,907	£5,000	11	11	8	11	8	10	10	69	Approve	Revenue	Joshua Osborne and Josie Ratcliffe	Sustainability is heavily dependent on external funding, with no clear long-term plan currently in place, although work towards one is underway. Supporting data has been provided, but national statistics would strengthen the evidence of need. The absence of other external funding has informed the value-for-money score. No breakdown has been provided to demonstrate the level of support delivered in rural areas.
023	King's Lynn Town Band	Building Improvements — Refurbishment of outbuilding	£5,256	£2,756	6	8	12	15	5	7	15	68	Approve	Revenue	Paul Bland	Benefits appear limited to building users. Please clarify whether the reported 3,000 beneficiaries include members of the public attending events. Consultation with band members and the wider public appears limited, and further evidence of engagement with rural users would help.
035	Sandringham Bowls Club	Sandringham Bowls Club Improvements — Restore and maintain playing surface of the bowling green	£18,166	£22,000	7	11	7	12	9	8	14	68	Approve	Capital	Anthony Bubb	The project has wide reach but provides no consultation evidence or match funding. Costs should exclude reclaimable VAT, and clarification is required on long-term maintenance and whether the works address the underlying cause of damage.

Ref	Organisation	Project Name — Brief Description of Project	Project Cost	Amount Requested	Community Need & Evidence	Community Benefit & Impact	Value for Money	Deliverability & Readiness	Rural Balance & Fair Distribution	Eligibility & Financial Position	Sustainability & Longer Term Benefit	TOTAL Points	Officer Opinion	Revenue/ Capital	Councillor Supporting	Comments - Officer Group
			£	£	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 20 points)					
046	Wereham Village Hall	Wereham Village Hall Sustainability Projects — a) Installation of solar panels b) Installation of retractable awnings c) Installation of steel entrance canopy	£47,205	£25,000	7	11	8	7	8	6	20	67	Approve	Capital	Sue Lintern	The solar panels support energy efficiency, while the awnings primarily support commercial hire and benefit paying users. Funding for the balance and any planning or building-control requirements remain unclear; the panel recommends funding only the solar element.
025	Little Miracles Charity	Inclusive Play Together — Delivery of Inclusive Play Together Initiative	£3,870	£3,034	7	11	10	15	5	8	10	66	Approve	Revenue	Simon Ring	Little Miracles provided national evidence supporting inclusive play, including benefits for disabled children and families and evidence of social isolation. The £3,034 request is confirmed, with the remaining £836 funded by Awards for All. Some queries remain around participant referrals and detailed session/staffing costs.
007	MAP	Chill Spot — Provision of youth group services	£23,712	£3,712	8	12	12	14	4	5	10	65	Approve	Revenue	Jo Rust	The proposal has substantial match funding and serves a deprived area, but consultation and reach are limited and the activity is not rural. The use of reserves and inclusion of day trips require justification.
009	Dersingham Parish Council	Street Light Safety — Replacement of street lighting columns belonging to the parish council	£28,210	£14,105	12	8	9	12	8	4	11	64	Approve	Capital	Anthony Bubb	The project covers five lamps in limited locations. A 50% contribution is offered, but this is Parish Council asset replacement and reserves of approximately £37,600 are available alongside other priorities.
029	Outwell Parish Council	Pen Sluice Project — Making a feature of the area, including the lock and its mechanisms	£30,000	£20,800	2	11	10	11	8	4	18	64	Approve	Capital	Chris Crofts	The figures appear inconsistent because reclaimable VAT should reduce the cost. Although some match funding is identified, the financial position, CIL availability and evidence of financial need require clarification.
032	PLUS at Edward de Moundeford	Plus at Edward de Moundeford — Pre loved school uniform shop	£5,000	£5,000	8	11	7	8	9	10	10	63	Approve	Revenue	Martin Storey	The panel found no risk assessment or evidence of sustainable income and requires clarification of other potential income sources.
048	YMCA Norfolk	Unlocking Potential, Building Belonging — Support for young people aged 18 to 25 living in Woolstencroft Avenue, Kings Lynn	£5,000	£5,000	12	8	11	14	5	4	8	62	Approve	Revenue	Austen Moore	The proposal has strong match funding and serves a deprived, non-rural area. However, it supports only 23 people for one year, while reserves exceed the organisation's stated policy level and indicate a stronger financial position than many applicants.
038	Stanhoe Parish Council	Dredging & Desilting of village ponds — Dredging, desilting and rewinding of village ponds	£6,000	£6,000	9	8	8	10	10	3	12	60	Approve	Capital	Alistair Beales	No match funding is identified, and the bid includes costs already incurred. Consultation involved only 10 residents, while reserves and accounts were not provided. Financial statements and a clear split between completed and outstanding works are required.
006	Castle Acre Bowls Club	Weatherproof Benches — Two new weather proof benches for the green	£1,236	£1,000	4	7	8	14	8	4	12	57	Approve	Revenue	Alistair Beales	The organisation has healthy reserves but has not explained why they cannot be used. Benefits appear sustainable but are limited to club members.
030	Pandora Project	Pandora West Norfolk — New laptops for staff	£20,000	£20,000	11	11	7	7	7	4	10	57	Approve	Capital	Deborah Henegan	The request combines laptops with travel and room hire, but the figures and revenue breakdown are unclear. With no match funding and substantial reserves, routine operating costs are not supported; the panel recommends partial funding for laptops only, within the £10,000 capital limit and applicable £5,000 revenue limit.

Ref	Organisation	Project Name — Brief Description of Project	Project Cost	Amount Requested	Community Need & Evidence	Community Benefit & Impact	Value for Money	Deliverability & Readiness	Rural Balance & Fair Distribution	Eligibility & Financial Position	Sustainability & Longer Term Benefit	TOTAL Points	Officer Opinion	Revenue/ Capital	Councillor Supporting	Comments - Officer Group
			£	£	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 20 points)					
044	Walpole Parish Foundation Recreation Trust	Walpole Community Centre Cladding Repairs — Replacement of external cladding at the community centre	£9,450	£9,450	7	7	5	13	8	5	11	56	Approve	Capital	Julian Kirk	The proposal covers repairs, but the effect on increased use of the centre is unclear. No match funding is offered, and an applicant contribution would strengthen the case.
031	Pelicans Hockey Club	Local School Engagement with hockey and offering activities for more children — Joint initiative with Pelicans and local schools	£6,000	£5,000	8	8	8	7	5	7	10	53	Approve	Revenue	Richard Coates	The panel supports the concept, but local demand has not been evidenced. Participating schools, expected reach, rural/urban coverage and continuation beyond the initial 100 hours are not defined.
			£1,098,918	£398,879												

047	Wretton Parish Council	Wretton Village Play Area — Replacement of play equipment	£97,388	£25,000	14	12	10	5	10	4	13	68	Reject	Capital	Sue Lintern	Ownership is incomplete, the design is not agreed and no other funding is secured. The project is not ready for delivery, and the panel recommends rejection until these matters are resolved.
027	North Wootton Parish Council	North Wootton Winter Wellbeing & 10th Anniversary Christmas Fair — Warm Space Winter craft workshops	£6,016	£3,516	12	12	10	13	6	4	10	67	Reject	Revenue	Simon Ring	The balance depends on a Borough Council small-grants application, with £2,300 match funding recorded as volunteer time. The one-off activity has wide reach, but reserves of approximately £40,000 and no applicant cash contribution were not fully explained; rejection is recommended due to the incomplete financial picture.
040	SWAN	Provision of Family Support Service — Ongoing provision of Family Support service - focuses on the entire family, and not just the young people	£60,000	£5,000	12	12	11	12	8	0	10	65	Reject	Revenue	Joshua Osborne	The organisation already receives a Borough Council SLA for the same service. Limited financial information, reliance on grants and predominantly staffing costs raise sustainability concerns; the panel recommends rejection under the criteria.
041	Ten Mile Bank	Ten Mile Bank Playing Field — Purchase of school playing field	£25,000	£25,000	7	12	10	3	9	5	18	64	Reject	Capital	Martin Storey	NCC has not released the land or been contacted, and transfer could take up to three years. The Parish Council contribution is unresolved, so the project is not ready to proceed and rejection is recommended.
008	Clenchwarton Memorial Hall	Clenchwarton Memorial Air Conditioning — Air Conditioning	£8,519	£8,519	11	9	7	9	9	7	10	62	Reject	Capital	Steve Bearshaw	The panel considered the air-conditioning proposal poor value until insulation is improved and recommends rejection, with referral to CAN for energy-efficiency support.
012	Downham Market Town Council	New Skate Park — New skate park at Jubilee Community Centre	£300,000	£15,000	8	11	8	4	5	0	17	53	Reject	Capital	Joshua Osborn	Procurement, delivery detail, timescales and balance funding are not provided. The Town Council has access to CIL and states the project can be scaled to existing funds, which weakens the case for need; rejection is recommended.

Ref	Organisation	Project Name — Brief Description of Project	Project Cost	Amount Requested	Community Need & Evidence	Community Benefit & Impact	Value for Money	Deliverability & Readiness	Rural Balance & Fair Distribution	Eligibility & Financial Position	Sustainability & Longer Term Benefit	TOTAL Points	Officer Opinion	Revenue/ Capital	Councillor Supporting	Comments - Officer Group
			£	£	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 20 points)					
045	St Margarets Church King's Lynn	Walsingham Way Pilgrim Guide — Walsingham Way Pilgrim Guide	£4,560	£3,069	11	7	4	8	7	4	8	49	To be Determined	Revenue	Deborah Henegan	The proposal offers moderate benefit but limited reach, with outcomes difficult to measure. Risk information, reserves, long-term impact and the intended user group are insufficiently defined.
010	Discover King's Lynn	Digital Screens for King's Lynn — Installation of three, freestanding LED digital screens	£15,000	£15,000	7	8	6	7	3	4	13	48	To be Determined	Capital	Deborah Henegan	Letters of support are provided, but measurable evidence, quotations, delivery plans, hosting agreements and locations are absent. Planning requirements, reserves, maintenance and ongoing arrangements also require clarification.
014	Fen Zen CIC	Fen Zen Community Resilience Hub / Base - Development & Planning Project — Professional feasibility, design, consultation and planning work for a Low Impact Community Resilience base	£17,000	£15,000	11	7	4	4	9	6	6	47	To be Determined	Capital	Peter Hodson	The proposal is subject to planning and does not address the ongoing cost of full delivery.
021	King's Lynn Civic Society	Tree planting and benches on King's Lynn High Street — Planting of trees and placement of benches on part of High Street, King's Lynn	£53,000	£25,000	7	9	8	1	3	2	11	41	To be Determined	Capital	Deborah Henegan	Evidence of need and consultation is limited to the King's Lynn Masterplan. Benefits are credible but outcomes remain speculative; delivery relies on Council in-kind support. Match funding supports value, but ownership and ongoing maintenance responsibilities require clarification.
028	Northwold Parish Council	Funding for Sports Equipment — Purchase of 2 basketball hoops	£350	£350	3	7	4	8	7	0	11	40	To be Determined	Revenue	Stephen Lawrence	The named sponsor is not a Borough Councillor, and the financial information provided is insufficient.
004	Burnham Market Community Gym	Burnham Market Community Gym — Not for profit gym for Burnham Market and neighbouring villages	£30,000	£30,000	4	7	5	3	9	0	6	34	To be Determined	Capital £25k Revenue £5k	Sam Sandell	Reach is estimated, with no detailed cost breakdown or future forecast. Safeguarding, insurance and long-term sustainability require clarification.
015	Fitcham Social Club	Solar Electricity Generation — Delivery of solar generated electricity	£17,500	£7,500	1	2	5	5	8	1	6	28	To be Determined	Capital	Alistair Beales	The Social Club is a members' club with an annual fee of £5. The quotation totals £13,942 but is one year old, and the Chair has been advised that costs are likely to increase. The £17,500 estimate also includes making good an existing building, relaying the beer cellar where the inverter will be located, and a small contingency. One quotation. Planning permission was initially assumed not to be required, but an architect has since advised that it is necessary.
			£137,410	£95,919												

Ref	Organisation	Project Name — Brief Description of Project	Project Cost	Amount Requested	Community Need & Evidence	Community Benefit & Impact	Value for Money	Deliverability & Readiness	Rural Balance & Fair Distribution	Eligibility & Financial Position	Sustainability & Longer Term Benefit	TOTAL Points	Officer Opinion	Revenue/ Capital	Councillor Supporting	Comments - Officer Group
			£	£	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 15 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 10 points)	(max 20 points)					
024	King's Lynn Town Football Club	Keeping the Lights on After Matchdays — Installation of solar panels	£79,611	£25,000	4	7	12	4	3	7	10	47	Reject	Capital	Joshua Lowe	Evidence is largely anecdotal, with limited delivery detail. Planning permission, rural reach and going-concern risk require clarification; the panel recommends rejection.
037	St Margarets with St Nicholas	William Street Car Park Resurfacing — Car Park Resurfacing	£25,000	£25,000	8	8	8	3	3	4	11	45	Reject	Capital	Francis Bone & Deborah Henegan	The panel requires clarification of access arrangements, the application route through KLAC, and the relevance of signatories. With no match funding and payment returning to the Borough Council for the works, rejection is recommended.
001	Adapted Sports Hub	The Adapted Sports Hub Trust — Provision of specialist services for less abled children and adults This application is for prelim fees and associated costs	£25,121	£25,000	7	8	4	3	6	6	6	40	Reject	Capital	Simon Ring	Consultation and evidence of need are limited, particularly beyond Ride United. Preliminary costs do not deliver the final project, the plan is not deliverable before March 2028, and the rural and financial cases are weak. Rejection is recommended until planning permission is secured.
			£129,732	£75,000												

1. Adapted Sports Hub Trust

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Simon Ring	Ward / area	Borough-wide
Project cost	£25,121	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	2,000+	Match funding	Yes

Project description: pre-build planning, surveys, design, compliance and professional work for a fully accessible sports hub at Lynnsport. The requested funding covers the pre-construction stage, including design, surveys, planning, compliance and professional input, rather than the physical build itself.

Local need: No fully accessible gym or inclusive sports hub currently serves the borough. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Demand evidenced through adapted cycling activity and consultation with disabled people and partner groups. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Disabled children and adults, carers, families and partner organisations gain inclusive sporting opportunities. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Establishes the planning foundation for a long-term inclusive sports and community facility. It would move the scheme from concept towards a deliverable capital project and create the basis for future construction funding. The longer-term intention is to widen access to sport, wellbeing and social activity for disabled people and their families.
Measures of success: Planning milestones, stakeholder engagement, approvals and progression towards construction. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Unlocks a major shared community asset and leverages volunteer and in-kind support. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Borough-wide reach, including rural residents who face access barriers. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Targets an underserved group across the borough. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

2. Barroway Drove Village Hall Toilet Refurbishment

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Vivienne Spikings	Ward / area	Upwell & Delph
Project cost	£30,000 requested (above the £25,000 stated maximum award)	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	475 weekly users	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Refurbishment of ladies, accessible and baby-changing facilities, including improved separate access. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: Facilities dating from 1982 have recurring plumbing, maintenance and accessibility issues. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Surveys, petitions and community feedback support the improvements. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Improves dignity, privacy and access for disabled users, parents, carers, older people and hall users. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Provides reliable, modern and accessible facilities and reduces maintenance problems. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: User satisfaction, accessibility and reduced maintenance issues. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Addresses several building and access issues within one project. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Supports a well-used rural village facility. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Benefits a broad range of community groups. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

3. Boughton Pond Restoration Project

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Sue Lintern	Ward / area	Wissey
Project cost	£8,176	Amount requested	£6,000
People benefiting	2,764	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Bank remodelling, planting, landscaping and habitat restoration following drought impacts. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: A central village landmark and wildlife habitat has dried and deteriorated. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Public meetings, consultation and ecological assessment support intervention. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Benefits residents, visitors, wildlife and local biodiversity. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Creates a more resilient pond environment and reduces future drought impacts. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Water levels, habitat recovery, planting success and resident feedback. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Protects a valued heritage and environmental asset with volunteer input. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Supports a small rural village with limited alternatives. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Provides village-wide and ecological benefit. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

4. Burnham Market Community Gym

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Sam Sandell	Ward / area	Burnham Market
Project cost	£25,000 Capital £5,000 Revenue	Amount requested	£25,000 Capital £5,000 Revenue
People benefiting	550	Match funding	No

Project description: Creation of a not-for-profit gym in the former cricket pavilion, including equipment and start-up costs. The proposal combines capital equipment with start-up revenue support so that the gym can begin operating as a not-for-profit local facility.

Local need: Limited local fitness provision, transport barriers, rural isolation and health inequalities. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Application cites ageing population, wellbeing need and exercise referral demand. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Older residents, young people, sports clubs, working-age adults and isolated residents. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Improves physical and mental wellbeing and creates a local social hub. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Membership, referrals, partnerships and participant feedback. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Reduces travel and provides local health and community benefits. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Strong rural benefit where facilities are limited. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Aims to remove cost and travel barriers. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

5. Raise the Roof - Castle Acre Village Hall

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Alistair Beales	Ward / area	Castle Acre & Great Massingham
Project cost	£37,875	Amount requested	£8,150
People benefiting	1,000	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Major roof, timber, insulation, porch and parapet repairs to safeguard the hall. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: The principal village social hub requires significant repairs to remain operational. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: A community survey recorded strong support for maintaining the hall. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Protects a venue used by community groups, events and cultural activities. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Prevents water ingress, improves efficiency and secures the hall for future use. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Completion of repairs and continued community use. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Protects an important historic community building. The grant forms part of a wider funding package involving reserves, fundraising and a further external application. The works protect an existing historic asset and should reduce the risk of more costly deterioration.
Rural balance: Vital facility in a small rural community. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Benefits the ward and neighbouring communities. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

6. Castle Acre Coronation Bowls Club Benches

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Alistair Beales	Ward / area	Castle Acre
Project cost	£1,236	Amount requested	£1,000
People benefiting	75+	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Purchase of two recycled-plastic weatherproof benches overlooking the bowling green. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: Members and spectators requested additional accessible seating. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Feedback from users identified demand for seating on another side of the green. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Improves comfort and the spectator experience for members, residents and visiting teams. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Encourages interaction and participation at the club. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Use of benches and potential membership growth. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Durable recycled material with low maintenance requirements. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Supports a volunteer-led rural club. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Low-cost improvement to a shared sporting facility. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

7. Chill Spot Youth Provision

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Jo Rust	Ward / area	Gaywood Clock
Project cost	£23,712	Amount requested	£3,712
People benefiting	40 annually	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Free youth club activities, trips, arts, games and wellbeing support at Gaywood Community Centre. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: Young people face deprivation, poor mental health, isolation and limited youth provision. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Local service evidence identifies demand for safe and structured activities. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Young people aged 11-16 gain relationships, confidence, aspirations and access to support. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Reduces isolation and improves wellbeing and engagement. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Attendance, surveys, case studies and outcome monitoring. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Uses existing funded staffing, with grant focused on activities. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Primarily addresses urban deprivation. This is primarily an urban deprivation project rather than a rural-access proposal. Its balance case rests on targeting young people who face social and economic barriers within Gaywood.	Fair distribution: Targets young people who may otherwise be excluded. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

8. Clenchwarton Memorial Hall Air Conditioning

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Steven Bearshaw	Ward / area	Clenchwarton
Project cost	£8,519	Amount requested	£8,519
People benefiting	100+	Match funding	Potential contribution discussed but not quantified

Project description: Installation of combined air-conditioning and heating units in the memorial hall. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: Extreme temperatures affect preschool, classes and wider hall use. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: User groups have reported uncomfortable conditions in hot weather. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Benefits preschool children, classes, groups and event users. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Creates a comfortable, usable venue throughout the year. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: User feedback, attendance and energy performance. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Provides cooling and heating and complements solar infrastructure. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Supports a rural village hall. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Benefits multiple groups and age ranges. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

9. Dersingham Street Light Safety

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Tony Bubb	Ward / area	Dersingham
Project cost	£28,210	Amount requested	£14,105
People benefiting	4,600	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Replacement of five severely corroded streetlight columns. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: Engineering inspections identified structural and public-safety risks. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Condition inspections provide the evidence for replacement. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Residents and visitors benefit from safe, reliable lighting. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Removes risk of structural failure and maintains lighting. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Completion of replacements and removal of identified risk. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Prevents failure and more costly reactive works. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Supports a large rural village. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Public infrastructure benefits the whole community. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

10. Discover King's Lynn Digital Screens

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Deborah Heneghan	Ward / area	St Margaret's with St Nicholas
Project cost	£15,000	Amount requested	£15,000
People benefiting	6.1m annual footfall estimate	Match funding	No

Project description: Three freestanding digital information screens promoting events, businesses, heritage and services. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: Visitors may remain in limited parts of the centre and miss wider attractions and businesses. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Footfall analysis and business feedback support better information and wayfinding. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Residents, visitors, businesses and community organisations gain greater visibility. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Improves awareness, dwell time and movement around the town centre. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: App use, business feedback, surveys and QR interactions. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Long-life infrastructure serving a large audience. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Urban project with borough-wide visitor reach. The physical installation is town-centre based, but the information displayed could promote events and services used by residents and visitors from across the borough. The project should therefore be assessed mainly as a public-realm and economic-support proposal rather than a rural facility.	Fair distribution: Promotes opportunities across the town centre. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

11. Downham Market & District Foodbank

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Joshua Osborne & Josie Ratcliffe	Ward / area	Downham Market and surrounding villages
Project cost	£56,907	Amount requested	£5,000
People benefiting	1,200	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Emergency food provision with financial inclusion, debt, welfare and advice support. The funding supports continued emergency food provision alongside financial inclusion, debt and welfare support. This combines immediate crisis help with advice intended to improve longer-term household resilience.

Local need: Poverty, food and fuel insecurity and financial hardship are increasing. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: More than 1,200 annual food parcels and growing referrals evidence need. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Supports families, pensioners, low-income households and people in crisis. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Meets immediate need while helping residents towards financial resilience. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Parcels, financial gains, debt outcomes and feedback. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Combines donated food, volunteers and advice outcomes. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Serves Downham and surrounding rural communities. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Targets residents in greatest financial need. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

12. Downham Market Skate Park

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Joshua Osborne	Ward / area	Downham Market
Project cost	£300,000	Amount requested	£15,000
People benefiting	625	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Construction of a new purpose-built skate park at the Jubilee Community Centre. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: The former park was removed after deteriorating beyond repair. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Consultation showed demand from young people and residents. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Supports skateboard, scooter and BMX users, families and young people. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Creates a safe facility for activity, skills and social interaction. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Usage, participation, feedback and engagement. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Substantial Town Council investment already committed. The grant requested is a relatively small part of the overall capital cost, with substantial Town Council investment already committed. If completed, the park would provide a long-life recreational asset with open community access.
Rural balance: Serves a rural market town and wider catchment. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Open recreational asset for local young people. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

13. Downham Market Sports Federation Community Hub

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Andy Bullen	Ward / area	North Downham
Project cost	£33,342	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	1,200+	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Refurbishment of squash courts and creation of a modern community kitchen. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: Outdated facilities limit participation and wider community use. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Consultation supports improved sports and catering facilities. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Sports clubs, groups, families, volunteers and resident's benefit. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Enables greater participation, events, community meals and income generation. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Participation, bookings, new users, events and income. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Improves an existing asset rather than constructing a new one. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Serves Downham and surrounding rural communities. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Multiple organisations and users benefit. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

14. Fen Zen Community Resilience Hub

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Peter Hudson	Ward / area	Denver
Project cost	£17,000	Amount requested	£15,000
People benefiting	250+	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Feasibility, planning and professional work for a low-impact community resilience hub. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: Demand for food resilience, practical skills, wellbeing and community connection. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Seven years of activity and growing site use support the proposal. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Children, families, volunteers, isolated residents and community groups. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Provides the foundation for a long-term resilience and support facility. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Completion of studies, consultation and planning submission. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Builds on established activity and volunteer contributions. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Supports rural communities. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Provides shared resilience and wellbeing benefits. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

15. Flitcham Community Centre Solar Project

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Alistair Beales	Ward / area	Massingham with Castle Acre
Project cost	£17,500	Amount requested	£7,500
People benefiting	250	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Installation of solar electricity generation and battery storage. The project would install solar generation and battery storage at the community centre. The purpose is to reduce electricity costs and improve the long-term viability of a facility used for local clubs, events and social activity.

Local need: Electricity is the community centre's largest operating cost. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Community support and fundraising demonstrate local backing. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Supports clubs, events and social activity in a small village. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Reduces running costs and improves long-term sustainability. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Energy generation, savings and continued community use. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Long-term energy savings and potential resilience. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Strong benefit to a small rural community. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Protects a shared village facility. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

16. Great Massingham Area Community Car Scheme

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Chris Morley	Ward / area	Great Massingham and surrounding villages
Project cost	£13,611	Amount requested	£5,000
People benefiting	200	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Volunteer transport enabling access to healthcare, shopping and social activities. The volunteer-led service provides journeys to healthcare, shopping and social activities for residents who cannot readily use other transport. Support is intended to maintain a practical service across Great Massingham and neighbouring villages.

Local need: Public transport is very limited across a dispersed rural area. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Established demand from residents and partner organisations. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Older and isolated residents and people with mobility or financial difficulties. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Reduces isolation and improves access to essential services. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Journeys, users, destinations and feedback. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Leverages volunteer drivers and partner support. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Directly addresses rural transport disadvantage. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Serves several villages and priority residents. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

17. Harpley Village Hall Cinema - Revenue

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Alistair Beales	Ward / area	Harpley
Project cost	£1,200	Amount requested	£1,200
People benefiting	Up to 1,440 attendances	Match funding	In-kind

Project description: First-year film licensing and screening programme for a new community cinema. This revenue request would fund the first year of film licensing and screenings for a new village cinema. It complements the separate capital bid for projection and sound equipment.

Local need: Residents have limited local cultural opportunities and transport options. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Cinema was the most popular request in village consultation. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Residents gain accessible local film and social activity. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Creates regular cultural provision and reduces travel. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Screenings, attendance and participant feedback. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Low revenue request supports regular local activity. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Strong rural access benefit. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Open to the whole village and wider area. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

18. Harpley Village Hall Cinema

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Alistair Beales	Ward / area	Harpley
Project cost	£6,000	Amount requested	£6,000
People benefiting	Up to 1,440 attendances	Match funding	In-kind

Project description: Purchase and installation of projection, sound and audiovisual equipment. This capital request would purchase and install projection, sound and audiovisual equipment. The equipment would support cinema screenings and could also be used for other village hall activities.

Local need: The village lacks local cinema and cultural facilities. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Identified through extensive community consultation. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Creates a lasting cinema resource and supports other hall uses. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Enables regular screenings and wider audiovisual activities. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Use of equipment, screenings and attendance. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Long-life equipment supporting multiple activities. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Strong rural access benefit. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Shared village hall resource. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

19. Howdale Scout and Guide Hut Heating & Electrics
COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Josie Ratcliffe	Ward / area	Downham Market East
Project cost	£17,734	Amount requested	£15,415
People benefiting	170	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Electrical upgrades, replacement heating and solar panels at the Scout and Guide Hut. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: The building failed electrical inspections and could not be used safely. Electrical inspection failures prevented safe use of the Scout and Guide Hut. The project is therefore driven by an immediate compliance and safety requirement as well as the need to improve heating and energy performance.	Community need / evidence: Safety inspections establish the need. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Supports Scout and Guide groups and secures a youth facility. The expected benefits extend beyond the immediate applicant by supporting wider participation, access, wellbeing or community resilience.	Difference the project makes: Restores safe use and reduces energy costs. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Compliance completion, energy savings and resumed use. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Combines safety works and energy improvements. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Serves a market town and rural catchment. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Benefits established youth organisations. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

20. Hunstanton Sailing Club Watersports Cabin

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Angie Dickinson	Ward / area	Hunstanton
Project cost	£16,664	Amount requested	£13,184
People benefiting	6,000+	Match funding	Yes

Project description: Replacement weatherproof portable cabin with power, internet and solar capability. The proposal is intended to turn the identified need into a deliverable activity or lasting community asset, using the grant for the specific works or service described.

Local need: The existing cabin is structurally corroded and nearing end of life. The application therefore presents the project as a response to a current local gap, condition issue or barrier rather than an optional enhancement.	Community need / evidence: Condition of the existing facility establishes need. The evidence cited provides a basis for assessing whether the proposal reflects demand from users, residents or partner organisations.
Community benefit: Members, watersports users, instructors and Parkrun users benefit. The cabin would support sailing and watersports activity and is also expected to assist instructors and users connected with promenade activities. This gives the investment a broader recreational and visitor benefit than club membership alone.	Difference the project makes: Strengthens recreation, watersports provision and visitor appeal. If delivered, the project would remove or reduce the principal barrier identified in the application and improve the sustainability or reach of the provision.
Measures of success: Participation, facility use, membership and feedback. These indicators should enable progress to be reviewed after funding and provide evidence of both delivery and community impact.	Value for money: Club contribution and multi-user benefit. Value is strengthened where the proposal uses existing assets, volunteer input, partner support or match funding to extend the benefit of the grant.
Rural balance: Serves a coastal community and visitors. This should be considered alongside the availability of alternative facilities, transport and services for residents in the relevant catchment.	Fair distribution: Supports several activities and user groups. The proposal should be considered in the context of both geographic spread and whether it reaches communities or groups with limited existing provision.

21. King's Lynn High Street Trees and Benches

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Deborah Heneghan	Ward / area	St Margaret's with St Nicholas
Project cost	£53,000	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	200,000 stated	Match funding	No

Project description: The Civic Society proposes tree planting with integrated benches outside the former Debenhams building at the lower end of King's Lynn High Street. An external contractor would carry out the capital works. The scheme is intended to improve the public realm, provide more suitable seating and add shade during hotter weather.

Local need: This part of the High Street is described as neglected and in need of investment. Existing seating is considered unsuitable for older people and residents with mobility needs. The application links the proposal to the King's Lynn Public Realm Action Plan and the need for a greener, more consistent streetscape.	Community need / evidence: King's Lynn masterplan consultation is cited as showing support for more town-centre greening and a more inviting public realm. Ward councillors also report that residents and businesses regularly raise the need to improve this section of the High Street.
Community benefit: Residents, visitors, shoppers and nearby businesses would benefit from a more comfortable and attractive environment. New seating would improve resting opportunities, while trees would provide shade and support climate resilience. The applicant expects the scheme to encourage people to spend longer in this part of the town centre.	Difference the project makes: The project would rejuvenate an underinvested section of the High Street and strengthen pride in the town centre. It may support footfall and local spending while making the area more comfortable during hot weather. The benefit would be long-term if appropriate maintenance arrangements are confirmed.
Measures of success: The Civic Society and ward councillors propose to liaise with local businesses about footfall and dwell time. Photographs, expenditure evidence and an end-of-project report would also be provided.	Value for money: The applicant describes this as a modest initial outlay with recurring benefits and limited ongoing maintenance. No match funding is confirmed, although other funding would be explored if the grant is secured. Maintenance responsibility with the Council's open spaces team still needs to be agreed.
Rural balance: This is a town-centre project rather than a rural intervention. Its borough-wide case is that residents and visitors from rural communities also use King's Lynn as the principal shopping and service centre.	Fair distribution: The application argues that this part of the town has received little recent investment. Benefits would be open to all High Street users rather than restricted to a defined organisation or membership group.

22. Creative Foundations - KL Creatives Studios

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Deborah Heneghan	Ward / area	St Margaret's with St Nicholas
Project cost	£29,164	Amount requested	£21,304
People benefiting	180	Match funding	Yes

Project description: The mixed capital and revenue project would equip and deliver three strands from a step-free creative facility: Create and Play for children and families, a six-week Young Creators programme, and Tony's Music Group for older residents. Funding would support facilitators, materials and refreshments alongside recording, film, podcast, arts, sensory and PA equipment, plus website and branding work. Delivery includes a partnership with the Royal Central School of Speech and Drama.

Local need: King's Lynn is identified as a Culture Priority Place and the applicant says there was previously no comparable fully accessible creative facility locally. Rural transport, low income, disability and neurodivergence can prevent residents from travelling to alternative provision. Existing equipment also limits the standard and range of work participants can produce.	Community need / evidence: Evidence includes 53 registered members across the three strands, rapid growth in family participation, referrals from Norfolk and Waveney Mind, partner letters and programme records. The applicant reports 22 children registered for Create and Play, 10 young people for Young Creators and 21 members of Tony's Music Group.
Community benefit: Children, including many with SEND, would gain inclusive creative and sensory activity; young people would gain access to professional facilities, portfolio outputs and specialist input; and older residents would gain regular social contact. Parents, carers, community groups and partner organisations would also benefit from the equipped studios and event space.	Difference the project makes: The project would increase both capacity and the quality of local creative provision. Participants could produce recordings, films, podcasts and practical artwork rather than only taking part in short activities. The equipment would also strengthen the organisation's ability to earn income and sustain future community provision.
Measures of success: Attendance, retention, SEND reach, participant and parent feedback, partner feedback, equipment usage and completed creative outputs would be monitored. All assets and expenditure would be recorded, with an end-of-project report bringing together reach and outcomes.	Value for money: The grant request is supported by £7,860 of studio space, volunteer facilitation and university-funded placement support. Capital equipment would be used across several programmes and community hires over multiple years. The organisation is new and has modest reserves, but argues that the equipment will increase earned-income capacity.
Rural balance: The facility is central in King's Lynn but serves residents from surrounding villages where comparable provision is limited. Step-free access and a sensory room reduce access barriers, while portable equipment may allow activities to be taken to partner venues.	Fair distribution: The project targets groups described as least served by existing cultural provision, including disabled and neurodivergent children, young people facing barriers, isolated older residents and low-income families. Although town-based, its referral network and portable assets give it wider geographic reach.

23. King's Lynn Town Band Building Improvements

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Paul Bland	Ward / area	King's Lynn and West Norfolk
Project cost	£5,256	Amount requested	£2,756
People benefiting	3,000	Match funding	Yes

Project description: The Town Band seeks structural and waterproofing works to an outbuilding recently converted into toilets and a kitchen. The building has since been found to be unstable and affected by water deterioration. The works are intended to protect the refurbishment and secure the band room for continued use.

Local need: The band needs safe, watertight premises for rehearsals, tuition, instrument storage and community activity. Without the works, the recent investment in the toilet and kitchen facilities could be lost and the organisation may need more expensive alternative premises.	Community need / evidence: The application relies on the band's long history, regular performances, messages of support and requests from schools and uniformed groups. It provides free tuition and instruments, sustains a junior band and performs for civic occasions and charities across the borough.
Community benefit: Learners, band members, concert audiences, supported charities and civic events would benefit. Free tuition lowers barriers to learning music, while the improved building would support safe storage and reliable delivery of rehearsals and performances.	Difference the project makes: The works would make the building stable and watertight, preserving the band's operational base. This would protect existing facilities and enable the band to continue music tuition, public performances and charitable fundraising.
Measures of success: Immediate success would be completion of the works and confirmation that the building is safe and watertight. Longer-term indicators are continued tuition, rehearsals, performances and use of the premises.	Value for money: The band confirms a £2,500 cash contribution and the quote is supported by a cost breakdown. The project protects recent refurbishment and may avoid the higher cost of relocating. The form contains differing cost figures, so the final eligible cost and request should be confirmed.
Rural balance: The premises are in King's Lynn, but membership, learners, civic activity and performances draw from across West Norfolk. The proposal is therefore borough-wide rather than specifically rural.	Fair distribution: Benefits are not confined to one ward because tuition is open and performances support civic and charitable activity across the borough. The application also offers access to music for people who may not otherwise afford lessons or instruments.

24. King's Lynn Town FC - Keeping the Lights On
COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Joshua Lowe	Ward / area	Gaywood Chase
Project cost	£79,611	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	5,000	Match funding	Yes

Project description: The club seeks a contribution towards solar panels as part of a five-year sustainability plan. Reduced energy costs are intended to make it more viable to open the ground outside matchdays for events, social activity and wellbeing provision. The club describes the investment as enabling the stadium to operate as a wider community asset.

Local need: Energy and operating costs are placing pressure on the club's finances. The application also identifies local mental-health and social-isolation need and wants to use the ground for more regular community activity. The solar scheme is therefore presented as both an environmental measure and an enabler of wider use.	Community need / evidence: The application cites the club's partnership with 8:56, local health information and support material. It identifies a need for places where residents can meet, socialise and access activities beyond traditional football use.
Community benefit: Families, young people, adults, older residents and people experiencing loneliness are expected to benefit from a more regularly open facility. Potential college partnerships could also offer practical skills and intergenerational activity.	Difference the project makes: The project would reduce reliance on grid electricity and release resources for facilities and community use. It aims to change the ground from a mainly matchday venue into a year-round place for connection, activity and wellbeing.
Measures of success: The club would track electricity use, costs and solar generation before and after installation. It would also record non-matchday events, visitor numbers, community usage and participant feedback.	Value for money: The £25,000 request forms part of a £79,611 project, with £54,611 stated as owner funding. Solar panels are expected to provide long-term savings and environmental benefit. The club's accounts show a significant deficit, so the panel may wish to consider financial sustainability alongside the confirmed contribution.
Rural balance: The project is physically town-based and the form's description of the club as rural is not consistent with its King's Lynn location. Its wider case is that supporters and community users come from across the borough, including surrounding rural areas.	Fair distribution: The proposed non-matchday activity would be open beyond football supporters and the sponsoring councillor states that benefits extend across the town and borough. Investment would therefore support an existing large asset with broad potential reach.

25. Little Miracles - Inclusive Play Together

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Simon Ring	Ward / area	King's Lynn and surrounding areas
Project cost	£3,870	Amount requested	£3,034
People benefiting	250	Match funding	Yes

Project description: This is a 12-month programme of 12 free inclusive play sessions for disabled children, children with additional needs and their families. Six sessions would be held in King's Lynn and six in Heacham. Funding would cover venue admission and hire, with staffing supported separately.

Local need: Families can face sensory, developmental, financial and social barriers when using mainstream activities. Suitable provision is limited and fear of misunderstanding or judgement can further reduce participation. Free sessions at two locations are intended to address cost and travel barriers.	Community need / evidence: A survey of 99 families provides detailed evidence on additional needs, emotional wellbeing, acceptance, accessible activities, financial pressure and isolation. The application also reports strong positive outcomes from Little Miracles' existing activities, including participation, friendships and wellbeing.
Community benefit: Children would gain active, sensory and social play in welcoming environments. Parents, carers and siblings would share positive experiences, meet families with similar circumstances and develop supportive relationships.	Difference the project makes: The programme would remove admission costs and provide regular rather than one-off activity. Expected outcomes include greater confidence, friendships, inclusion and emotional wellbeing for children, alongside reduced isolation for families.
Measures of success: Registration and attendance would record sessions, unique families and total family participation. Accessible feedback, an end-of-project survey, staff observations and case studies would assess access, inclusion, wellbeing and social connection.	Value for money: The £3,870 grant would fund venue costs, with £836 of staffing supported through Awards for All. Existing venue knowledge and the intention to negotiate bulk prices support delivery. The programme is time-limited and creates no ongoing asset liability.
Rural balance: Half of the sessions would take place in Heacham, improving access for rural and coastal families who would otherwise need to travel into King's Lynn. This explicitly shares provision between urban and rural locations.	Fair distribution: The even split between King's Lynn and Heacham supports geographic balance. The project also targets families for whom disability, income, transport and sensory needs can create unequal access to ordinary leisure opportunities.

26. North Wootton Village Hall - Air Conditioning and Solar

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Richard Coates	Ward / area	North Wootton
Project cost	£27,058	Amount requested	£24,357
People benefiting	700	Match funding	Yes

Project description: The capital project would install air conditioning and solar panels at North Wootton Village Hall. Professional contractors would deliver the works, with the Village Hall Committee responsible thereafter. The combined scheme is designed to improve comfort and reduce electricity costs.

Local need: Recent hot weather has made the hall uncomfortable and affected attendance and hiring decisions. Energy costs also place pressure on affordability. The committee wants to future-proof the hall and use it as a cool space in summer and warm community hub in winter.	Community need / evidence: Evidence includes letters from regular hirers, six pages of resident support and estimated annual energy savings of £1,388 at current prices. The hall is described as being in use almost every day.
Community benefit: Regular users include yoga groups, church activity, U3A, craft groups, markets, bingo, meetings and private events. Residents would also benefit from a more resilient community space during extreme weather.	Difference the project makes: The project would maintain a comfortable temperature, reduce purchased electricity and help keep hire charges affordable. It could also attract groups previously deterred by summer temperatures.
Measures of success: The committee would compare attendance during hot weather and monitor electricity usage and generation. New bookings and feedback from existing hirers would provide further evidence of success.	Value for money: The hall would contribute £2,701, around 10% of the project cost, and has obtained quotations. The scheme offers both service improvement and recurring energy savings, though the grant request represents most of the capital cost.
Rural balance: North Wootton is not treated as rural by some funders because of proximity to King's Lynn, despite retaining a village character and limited alternatives. The application argues that this reduces access to other grant programmes.	Fair distribution: The hall serves many groups and would provide a shared warm or cool hub rather than a single-purpose facility. Funding would support a village community that may not qualify for rural schemes but still relies on volunteer-run infrastructure.

27. North Wootton Winter Wellbeing and Christmas Fair

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Simon Ring	Ward / area	The Woottons
Project cost	£6,016	Amount requested	£3,516
People benefiting	1,600	Match funding	Yes

Project description: The revenue project combines six free warm-space craft workshops with North Wootton's 10th annual Christmas Fair. Funding would cover tutors, materials, promotion, accessible transport, entertainment and venue operations. The Village Hall Management Committee and registered volunteers would deliver the programme.

Local need: The project addresses winter loneliness, reduced mobility and financial pressure. Keeping activities free is central to ensuring that older residents, care home residents and low-income families can participate.	Community need / evidence: Community consultation describes the fair and workshops as valued social infrastructure. The councillor's statement reports strong support for tackling loneliness and indicates that many respondents would struggle to attend if charges were introduced.
Community benefit: Older and isolated residents, care home residents, families and children would benefit from warm, accessible and intergenerational activity. Funded transport would help residents who might otherwise be unable to attend.	Difference the project makes: The programme would remove financial barriers, create purposeful winter social contact and strengthen community cohesion. It would also develop volunteer experience and repeatable craft skills.
Measures of success: Targets include more than 1,500 fair attendees and 120 workshop places. Headcounts, exit feedback and resident case studies would assess attendance and wellbeing impact.	Value for money: The application calculates a low grant cost per beneficiary and includes £2,288 of volunteer time. Additional match funding is described as pending, so only confirmed contributions should be relied upon. Reusable materials and volunteer capacity provide a legacy beyond the event.
Rural balance: The applicant describes North Wootton as a village lacking statutory leisure facilities and reliant on its volunteer-run hall. Accessible transport and local delivery particularly support residents with limited mobility.	Fair distribution: Activities are open across the Woottons and the consultation includes surrounding areas. The free-at-point-of-access model spreads benefit across age groups and households facing both social and financial barriers.

28. Northwold Recreation Ground Basketball Hoops
COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Stephen Lawrence	Ward / area	Northwold
Project cost	£350	Amount requested	£350
People benefiting	20	Match funding	No

Project description: The Parish Council proposes two basketball hoops within the existing recreation ground. The equipment is intended to complement recent play investment focused on younger children. It would provide an additional activity for residents aged 12 to 18.

Local need: The existing recreation offer mainly serves children up to age 12. The application identifies a gap for teenagers and seeks to give that group another local way to exercise and socialise.	Community need / evidence: Need was identified through village consultation using social media and the village newsletter. The evidence is limited in detail but directly relates to the target age group.
Community benefit: Young people aged 12 to 18 would benefit most directly. The wider community may benefit from more balanced recreation provision across different ages.	Difference the project makes: The equipment would create another outlet for exercise and informal social contact. It would complete the Parish Council's recent effort to broaden the age range served at the recreation ground.
Measures of success: Usage and participation would be observed, with social media or other local feedback used to report outcomes. The application does not propose a detailed baseline or formal monitoring method.	Value for money: This is a low-cost addition to an existing recreation site. The form shows inconsistent total costs of £350 and £500, and no match funding is identified, so the final price and eligible request should be verified.
Rural balance: Northwold is a rural parish and the equipment would be locally available without travel to a larger settlement. The application itself does not provide detailed evidence about alternative facilities or transport barriers.	Fair distribution: The proposal aims to balance previous investment by adding provision for teenagers rather than younger children alone. Its direct beneficiary number is modest, but the asset would remain available for ongoing community use.

29. Outwell Pen Sluice Regeneration

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Jane Sutton / Christopher Crofts	Ward / area	Outwell
Project cost	£30,000	Amount requested	£20,800
People benefiting	6,000	Match funding	Yes

Project description: The Parish Council proposes to conserve and enhance the historic Pen Sluice area, including surviving lock walls and mechanisms. Works include landscaping, fencing, paths, seating, picnic facilities, interpretation boards and a new village sign. The completed site would provide recreation, heritage interpretation and environmental improvement.

Local need: The site is an underused and neglected historic asset. Outwell has limited public green space and local heritage attractions, and the project is intended to prevent further deterioration while making the area safer and more accessible.	Community need / evidence: Letters of support from county and borough councillors accompany the application. The case also draws on the site's historic significance and the Parish Council's direct knowledge, although wider formal consultation is not described.
Community benefit: Residents, families, older people, schools, walkers, community groups and visitors would gain a free outdoor space. Benefits include recreation, learning, biodiversity, village pride and potential visitor activity.	Difference the project makes: The project would transform the site into a usable community space while safeguarding local waterway heritage. It would provide interpretation, places to sit and opportunities for outdoor activity and education.
Measures of success: Site condition, access, educational use, biodiversity, visitor observations and community feedback would be monitored. Photographs and inspections would compare the site before and after works.	Value for money: The project combines heritage, environment, recreation and tourism outcomes. Confirmed contributions include £3,000 from the Parish Council and £150 public donations. Quotations support the principal work packages, while ongoing maintenance would sit with the Parish Council.
Rural balance: Outwell is a rural community with fewer amenities and external funding opportunities than larger settlements. Local delivery reduces the need to travel elsewhere for accessible outdoor recreation.	Fair distribution: The scheme would create an open public asset rather than provision for a restricted group. It supports geographic balance by directing capital investment to rural heritage and green infrastructure.

30. Pandora West Norfolk

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Deborah Heneghan	Ward / area	West Norfolk
Project cost	£20,000	Amount requested	£20,000
People benefiting	300	Match funding	No

Project description: The mixed project would purchase ten laptops and support room hire and staff travel for specialist domestic-abuse services. The technology would be used for case management, risk assessments, safety plans, secure communication and online support. Venue and travel funding would enable outreach and recovery activity across West Norfolk.

Local need: Front-line staff report that slow, ageing laptops delay notetaking and access to resources. Survivors, particularly in rural areas, may also face transport, safety and coercive-control barriers to reaching support.	Community need / evidence: Need is evidenced through staff supervision, current service experience and the operational difficulties created by unreliable equipment. The application also identifies King's Lynn as the largest client cohort and describes separate support for children aged 5 to 18.
Community benefit: Women, children and young people affected by domestic abuse would receive more timely, flexible and secure support. Outreach would improve access for residents who cannot safely or practically travel to the main office.	Difference the project makes: The project would improve response times, staff efficiency and access to safety planning, advocacy and recovery support. It is intended to strengthen safety, wellbeing, confidence and resilience while reducing geographic barriers.
Measures of success: Pandora would track people supported, outreach sessions, risk assessments, safeguarding interventions and use of the funded resources. Intake and exit evaluation, feedback, case studies and service data would evidence outcomes.	Value for money: Laptops are expected to serve front-line workers for several years, while room and travel funding directly extend reach. No match funding is identified. The charity holds reserves under a policy linked to funding gaps and closure liabilities, which the panel may consider alongside the full-funding request.
Rural balance: Rural access is a central element because public transport, distance and isolation can prevent survivors from seeking help. Travel funding and local venues would take specialist support closer to residents.	Fair distribution: The service is borough-wide and addresses a specialist need not confined to one ward. Funding would support both the larger King's Lynn caseload and smaller rural communities where access barriers can be greater.

31. Pelicans Hockey School Engagement

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Richard Coates	Ward / area	The Woottons and local schools
Project cost	£6,000	Amount requested	£5,000
People benefiting	200	Match funding	In-kind

Project description: The club proposes at least 100 hours of coaching in partnership with local schools. Qualified coaching, equipment and structured pathways would introduce children to hockey and link school participation to the club. The applicant also intends to explore opportunities for parents to participate.

Local need: Children face financial, transport and equipment barriers to organised sport. The project would bring coaching into schools, reducing the need for families or schools to fund specialist provision or travel initially.	Community need / evidence: Letters from several local schools demonstrate interest in additional hockey and physical-activity opportunities. The applicant proposes starting with a smaller group of schools before wider rollout.
Community benefit: Schoolchildren would gain coaching, physical activity, skill development and a pathway into a local club. Parents may also gain social and sporting opportunities through a separate introductory offer.	Difference the project makes: The programme aims to improve health, confidence, wellbeing and social connection. A sustained school-club link could help children continue sport beyond the initial sessions.
Measures of success: The club would monitor coaching hours, school engagement and numbers participating. The application also refers to healthier and happier children, although a method for measuring health or school-performance outcomes is not specified.	Value for money: The grant would purchase at least 100 coaching hours at £60 per hour, with schools receiving activity without charge. Volunteer contribution is identified but not valued. The request is predominantly revenue and continuation beyond the funded period is not fully costed.
Rural balance: Coaches can travel to schools that have limited equipment or access to club facilities. This helps reach rural pupils before inviting them to use the hockey pitch.	Fair distribution: The offer is intended for all local schools, although initial delivery will focus on a smaller number. Fairness will depend on transparent school selection and whether participation expands beyond the starting cohort.

32. PLUS at Edmund De Moundeford

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Martin Storey	Ward / area	Feltwell
Project cost	Ongoing	Amount requested	£5,000
People benefiting	157 pupils plus families	Match funding	Yes - donations and volunteer input

Project description: The volunteer-run school uniform project operates from the primary school and provides pre-loved, new, low-cost and free uniform. Funding would extend storage and purchase stock for back-to-school, winter and summer bundles. The service particularly supports families receiving means-tested free school meals.

Local need: Uniform costs place pressure on families and donated stock does not always cover all sizes or essential new items. Rapid growth has also created a storage constraint. The applicant links the service to wider food-pantry demand and affordable-uniform expectations.	Community need / evidence: Demand is evidenced by more than 1,000 donated items, 13 free bundles and nine low-cost bundles provided for pupils returning to school, plus 75 additional individual items sold during the summer holiday. This represented use by around 14% of pupils for essential return-to-school items.
Community benefit: Pupils and families would benefit from affordable clothing, while the school gains a practical support service. Reuse also reduces textile waste and community donations encourage local involvement.	Difference the project makes: The project would reduce financial pressure and help children attend school in suitable uniform. Additional storage and stock would allow the service to meet demand more consistently across seasons and sizes.
Measures of success: Sales data, online transactions, stocktakes, bundle numbers, feedback forms and the annual charity report would track activity. These measures can show both community donations and direct family support.	Value for money: The operation already exists, has no ongoing overheads and is run by volunteers. It has received small local donations and grants, but holds only £423 in the bank. The form does not provide a detailed cost breakdown for the up-to-£5,000 request, so expenditure should be agreed before award.
Rural balance: Feltwell is a rural community and the service is based within the local primary school, avoiding travel for families. The school is described as a central community hub.	Fair distribution: The project targets families experiencing financial pressure while remaining open to the whole school community. It supports a rural area and a model the organisation is willing to share with other schools.

33. Purfleet Trust South Lynn Community Centre

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Jo Rust	Ward / area	South Lynn
Project cost	£24,700	Amount requested	£24,700
People benefiting	3,000 annually	Match funding	Yes

Project description: The Trust proposes to develop the centre into a hub combining Purfleet Pantry, an affordable cafe, practical support, activities, volunteering, training and employability opportunities. Capital works include kitchen refurbishment, a serving hatch, cafe furnishing and office alterations for Little Discoverers. Revenue funding would support the Pantry Manager for the first six months to establish the hub.

Local need: South Lynn experiences financial pressure, food insecurity, isolation, health inequality and barriers to support and employment. Existing Pantry experience shows that affordable food needs often sit alongside low confidence, digital exclusion, unemployment and difficulty navigating services.	Community need / evidence: The Trust cites more than 1,000 registered Pantry members, three years of direct delivery, staff and volunteer knowledge and local deprivation data. A structured community engagement day and continuing consultation would shape the activity programme.
Community benefit: Low-income households, families, older residents, unemployed people, volunteers, people affected by homelessness and the wider community would benefit. The building would provide food, advice, training, health activity and social connection from one accessible site.	Difference the project makes: The scheme aims to establish a regular programme, create at least 40 volunteering opportunities and provide routes into training or employment. Cafe and shop income would also contribute to long-term operation and reinvestment.
Measures of success: Footfall, unique users, Pantry and cafe use, activity attendance, volunteers, training and progression would be recorded. Feedback, Outcome Stars, casework data, cafe income and qualitative case studies would supplement the figures.	Value for money: The grant unlocks permanent building improvements and a six-month establishment period. Confirmed or stated support includes a coffee-machine grant, donated goods, volunteer days and smoking-cessation funding. The request is for the full project cost, but it leverages a wider package of partner contributions.
Rural balance: This is an urban deprivation project rather than a rural proposal. Its balance case is that equitable distribution should reflect significant need in South Lynn as well as rural geography.	Fair distribution: The centre would be open to the whole community and support multiple needs rather than one closed group. Investment would direct funding to a deprived neighbourhood while providing some access for residents from elsewhere in West Norfolk.

34. Rudhams and District Village Hall Toilets

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Chris Morley	Ward / area	The Rudhams and local villages
Project cost	£14,520	Amount requested	£14,520
People benefiting	1,576 users; approx. 8,000 attendances	Match funding	No

Project description: The project would fully refurbish male, female and accessible toilets as part of a wider programme to improve safety, accessibility and energy efficiency. Works include cubicles, sanitaryware, flooring and decoration. The hall serves five communities and hosts regular groups, fundraising and events.

Local need: Annual surveys and booking feedback describe the toilets as tired, cold and below modern expectations. Potential hirers have not proceeded with bookings, and winter conditions particularly affect children, older people and disabled users.	Community need / evidence: Need is supported by recurring user comments, booking feedback and the hall's own surveys. The Trustees link better facilities to continued affordability, accessibility and the ability to attract private and community bookings.
Community benefit: Residents across five communities would benefit, especially older, disabled and younger users. Better facilities would support regular groups, celebrations, SEND-inclusive activity and fundraising for outdoor recreation.	Difference the project makes: The refurbishment is expected to improve comfort and accessibility, increase bookings and help retain affordable hire charges. Modern water and energy-efficient fittings should also reduce running costs.
Measures of success: Bookings, repeat bookings, footfall, customer surveys and informal feedback would be monitored. Photographs and expenditure evidence would support completion reporting.	Value for money: Two quotes were obtained and the lower complete-refurbishment quote selected. No match funding is offered because reserves are being used for decoration, seating match funding and future building risks. The works create a long-term improvement but rely wholly on the grant.
Rural balance: The hall is in a Tier 5 rural village with limited services and transport and serves outlying hamlets. It is described as the only shared building available for family and community events across the five communities.	Fair distribution: The facility is shared by several villages and a broad range of users. Funding would address rural under-provision and help residents avoid travelling to better-equipped venues elsewhere.

35. Sandringham Bowls Club Improvements

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Anthony Bubb	Ward / area	Dersingham / Sandringham Parish
Project cost	£18,166 (Vat Clarification required)	Amount requested	£22,000
People benefiting	500	Match funding	Yes - prior spend and volunteer input

Project description: The mixed project would make paths and edging around the changing hut safer, install an irrigation system and continue professional green renovation and treatment. The objective is to prevent falls and restore a playable bowling surface. Club members would continue routine maintenance.

Local need: Uneven paths create a fall risk, while drought, rabbits and moles have damaged the green beyond the club's normal maintenance capacity. The closure of the associated social club reduced financial and social support for the bowling facility.	Community need / evidence: Photographs, user experience, previous incidents and comments from visiting teams support the need. The club has already funded temporary pest controls and more than £3,000 of green treatment and fertiliser.
Community benefit: About 50 members, including older and less mobile people, families and a junior member, benefit directly. More than 40 visiting clubs within roughly 20 miles also use the facility through league and cup fixtures.	Difference the project makes: The project would remove immediate safety hazards, improve the playing surface and help retain and attract members. It would support regular league participation and the club's wider social and wellbeing role.
Measures of success: The club would use photographs, incident experience, member and visiting-team feedback, green playability and longer-term membership trends. Expenditure and progress reports would also be provided.	Value for money: The club has already funded initial treatment and contributes labour, maintenance and donated services. Several quotations support the work. The full £22,000 request is significant relative to reserves, but the capital elements are intended to stabilise future maintenance.
Rural balance: The club is in a small rural village and provides local activity for residents with limited mobility. It also supports a network of rural clubs across a wider catchment.	Fair distribution: Although direct membership is modest, league use spreads benefit across many settlements. The facility also supports winter carpet bowls indirectly through overlapping membership.

36. St Clement's Church Community Room

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Paul Kunes	Ward / area	Terrington St Clement
Project cost	£30,000	Amount requested	£10,500
People benefiting	500	Match funding	Yes

Project description: The project would construct a permanent meeting room in the church narthex, replacing a temporary shed arrangement. It would adapt an underused part of the Grade I listed church into a warm space for small groups. A diocesan faculty has been approved.

Local need: The church is in a rural area described as within the most deprived 20% nationally and the large building is difficult and expensive to heat. Community groups need a warm, manageable room rather than heating the whole church.	Community need / evidence: Need is evidenced through steadily growing participation and feedback from parents, older residents, young people and other group users. Existing activities include a toddler group, community cafe and youth group.
Community benefit: Parents with young children, teenagers, bereaved or unemployed residents and older people would benefit. The room would provide privacy for feeding babies and a warmer winter setting for small groups and cafe users.	Difference the project makes: The project would make an underused area functional, improve comfort and allow community activity to continue more efficiently. Heating one room instead of the entire church should lower energy use for smaller meetings.
Measures of success: Usage numbers and feedback from groups would be monitored, with the project working party reporting quarterly to the Parochial Church Council.	Value for money: The scheme reuses existing space and should reduce heating costs for small activities. Match funding is being sought from businesses, individuals and grant-making trusts, but the extracted form does not state the project cost or contribution values.
Rural balance: Terrington St Clement is a rural parish with deprivation and limited local facilities. The project provides a warm meeting place within the village, reducing reliance on travel elsewhere.	Fair distribution: The space would be open to community users of different ages and backgrounds, not only the congregation. The councillor notes that comparable funding has supported halls and youth buildings across other parts of the ward.

37. William Street Car Park Resurfacing

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Deborah Heneghan & Francis Bone	Ward / area	St Margaret's with St Nicholas
Project cost	£25,000	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	50 direct plus surrounding residents	Match funding	No

Project description: The project would resurface William Street car park, with the Council responsible for delivery. The site is described as being in very poor condition and virtually unusable. Restoring it would provide off-street parking for nearby residents.

Local need: The surrounding streets are narrow and parking pressure can restrict access, including for emergency vehicles. Residents and councillors describe the condition as a long-standing problem that has not attracted funding from other sources.	Community need / evidence: Resident feedback over several years and photographs of the surface are cited as evidence. The application considers this proportionate because the physical condition and local parking problems are visible and well known.
Community benefit: Residents of William Street and Vancouver Avenue would benefit directly, while neighbouring streets would benefit from reduced parking pressure. Improved access would also support general road safety and emergency response.	Difference the project makes: Resurfacing would return the car park to usable condition and move parked vehicles off narrow streets. The application expects safer access and an everyday improvement for the surrounding neighbourhood.
Measures of success: Councillors would monitor carpark use and observe whether pressure on surrounding streets reduces. A fuller before-and-after monitoring method is not specified.	Value for money: The application describes a relatively low-cost intervention with benefits lasting many years, but the extracted form does not state project cost or amount requested. Quotes were reportedly prepared by Council officers and no match funding is identified.
Rural balance: This is explicitly an urban project. Its funding case is based on neighbourhood need and lack of alternative funding rather than rural disadvantage.	Fair distribution: The application states that this part of the ward has received little recent investment. Although the direct user estimate is small, safer surrounding streets would provide a wider neighbourhood benefit.

38. Stanhoe Parish Ponds

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Sam Sandell	Ward / area	Stanhoe
Project cost	£6,000	Amount requested	£6,000
People benefiting	50,000 stated, including visitors	Match funding	No

Project description: The Parish Council proposes dredging and desilting the main pond and two smaller ponds, with replanting and rewilding at the main site. The ponds are historic village features and wildlife habitats. The Parish Council would oversee the works and future maintenance.

Local need: The ponds have lost depth and water-holding capacity and risk disappearing without intervention. The main pond is an 18th-century landmark, meeting place and habitat used by residents, visitors, geese, ducks and other birds.	Community need / evidence: An extraordinary Parish Council meeting was attended by ten residents, all of whom supported restoration. The application also relies on longstanding community use and concern about the pond's condition.
Community benefit: Residents, second-home owners, visitors and local wildlife would benefit. A farmer would also reuse dredged silt, while the pond and adjacent war memorial would continue as a place for rest and social contact.	Difference the project makes: The works would restore water capacity, heritage character and habitat and prevent permanent loss of the ponds. Rewilding would improve environmental value and the setting for residents and visitors.
Measures of success: Success would be evidenced by restored ponds, wildlife returning, use of benches and resident feedback at a future Parish Council meeting. Photographs and observation would support reporting.	Value for money: The applicant argues that £6,000 is a relatively small investment for a feature that may last many years; the ponds were last dredged around 25 years ago. No match funding is secured, although a resident fundraising campaign is proposed for any shortfall.
Rural balance: Stanhoe is a small rural village that the applicant says is often overlooked because of assumptions about affluence and its small permanent population. The ponds are a central local asset with no comparable replacement.	Fair distribution: The application notes very limited recent local grant funding and support from the northern part of the borough. However, some main-pond work had already been undertaken before this application, so retrospective eligibility should be resolved separately.

39. Stoke Ferry Common - Preserving a Community Asset

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Sue Lintern	Ward / area	Stoke Ferry and Wretton / Wissey
Project cost	£24,100	Amount requested	£19,000
People benefiting	1,500	Match funding	Yes - £5,100 CIL

Project description: The Council recently received the Common as a community asset and proposes a designated parking area, bunds, dead hedging, accessible opening and boundary protection. The objective is to stop unauthorised vehicles damaging the grassland while keeping the site accessible.

Local need: Unauthorised vehicles are eroding grassland and damaging habitats. An online survey of 115 users recorded regular use and majority support for parking, child safety measures, wildlife habitat improvement and marshland protection.	Community need / evidence: A 115-response user survey supports parking, safety and habitat improvements. An online survey of 115 users recorded regular use and majority support for parking, child safety measures, wildlife habitat improvement and marshland protection.
Community benefit: The project is preventative: it should reduce erosion, allow grass recovery and protect habitats while providing safer access for walkers, families and visitors.	Difference the project makes: Baseline photographs, inspections at around six and twelve months, records of vehicle access and community feedback would be used.
Measures of success: The CIL contribution demonstrates local commitment and the works could avoid larger restoration costs. The absence of a final planning decision and the inconsistent VAT presentation remain material considerations.	Value for money: Stoke Ferry is a Key Rural Service Centre with limited public transport and relatively few alternative recreational spaces.
Rural balance: The Common is freely accessible and used by surrounding villages and visitors, so benefit is not restricted to parish residents.	Fair distribution: The project is presented as benefiting a broad range of local users and should be considered alongside geographic spread and relative community need.

40. SWAN Youth Project Family Support Service
COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Joshua Osborne	Ward / area	Downham Market
Project cost	£60,000	Amount requested	£5,000
People benefiting	150	Match funding	Yes - £50,000 confirmed

Project description: The service provides one-to-one whole-family support, action planning, advocacy and co-working with schools and agencies. Funding contributes to a 40-hour weekly staffing resource delivered from the youth centre and in homes, schools and community settings.

Local need: Families face increasingly complex safeguarding, mental health, SEND, poverty and breakdown issues. Swan reports supporting 73 families in the previous year, safeguarding concerns increasing by one third and 25 young people causing particular concern in three months.	Community need / evidence: Service data shows 73 families supported and safeguarding concerns rising. Swan reports supporting 73 families in the previous year, safeguarding concerns increasing by one third and 25 young people causing particular concern in three months.
Community benefit: Children, young people, parents and carers facing domestic abuse, bereavement, poverty, mental health, SEND and family-breakdown issues would benefit from early intervention.	Difference the project makes: The service expects improved relationships, resilience, confidence and wellbeing, with fewer problems escalating to crisis.
Measures of success: Families, sessions, engagement, feedback, case reviews and partner evidence would be monitored.	Value for money: The application calculates a cost of about £800 per family and confirms £50,000 from other funders, leaving the £5,000 request as the final part of the £60,000 budget.
Rural balance: Downham Market serves a large rural catchment where transport and service access are limited.	Fair distribution: Funding would support the borough's second-largest town and families who may struggle to reach services in King's Lynn.

41. Ten Mile Bank Playing Field

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Martin Storey	Ward / area	Ten Mile Bank
Project cost	£25,000 estimated	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	300	Match funding	Yes - £805 raised, £250 grant and potential charity support

Project description: The Association seeks to secure the former school playing field for transfer to the future Ten Mile Bank Parish Council, followed by fencing, tree, grass and play-area improvements if funds permit. The purchase is the first priority.

Local need: The field is the village's only safe enclosed green space and could be lost to development. The field is the village's only fenced safe green space; alternatives require travel on roads without pavements or crossing the A10. The land is registered as an Asset of Community Value.	Community need / evidence: Village Green evidence, Asset of Community Value status and community fundraising. The field is the village's only fenced safe green space; alternatives require travel on roads without pavements or crossing the A10. The land is registered as an Asset of Community Value.
Community benefit: All residents could use the field for play, sport, exercise and village events, with particular value for children and families.	Difference the project makes: Purchase would secure access in perpetuity and prevent the land being lost to development.
Measures of success: Primary success is completion of the purchase; reports would then cover any improvement works and community impact.	Value for money: The applicant describes the estimated £15,000 acquisition as a unique opportunity for approximately one acre, but several improvement estimates are provisional and one was AI-derived.
Rural balance: Ten Mile Bank is a small, low-affluence fenland community with very limited amenities and transport.	Fair distribution: The application argues that local play investment has lagged behind Hilgay and that the project would serve the whole village.

42. Thornham Sports Pavilion Completion

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Tom De Winton	Ward / area	Thornham
Project cost	£515,000	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	1,000	Match funding	Yes - £480,000 raised

Project description: The £25,000 request would complete a nearly finished sports pavilion containing five changing rooms, toilets, kitchen facilities and a community room. It is intended for cricket, tennis, bowls, fitness and wider social activity.

Local need: Existing facilities were inadequate for girls, juniors, tennis, bowls and community use. Current facilities are inadequate for girls, juniors and tennis users, and pressure on the main hall restricts indoor bowls, fitness and social sessions. Consultation and letters of support accompany the bid.	Community need / evidence: Community plan, surveys and multiple support letters evidence demand. Current facilities are inadequate for girls, juniors and tennis users, and pressure on the main hall restricts indoor bowls, fitness and social sessions. Consultation and letters of support accompany the bid.
Community benefit: Junior and adult sports participants, girls, older residents, isolated people, fitness users and visitors would benefit.	Difference the project makes: The pavilion would secure compliant changing, enable winter bowls and regular classes, and provide a warm social hub.
Measures of success: Usage, footfall, number and type of classes, surveys, conversations and annual user events would be monitored.	Value for money: Around £480,000 has already been raised through grants, donations and fundraising, including about £180,000 from more than 200 donors. The award would represent the final portion of a large capital scheme.
Rural balance: The pavilion serves a rural coastal community and surrounding villages where comparable facilities and funding options are limited.	Fair distribution: The multifunctional building supports different ages, sports and community uses rather than one club alone.

43. Tilney St Lawrence Winter Warm Hub

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Barry Ayres	Ward / area	Tilney, Mershe Lande and Wighenhall
Project cost	£3,762	Amount requested	£3,892 year 1 and £2,800 year 2
People benefiting	150	Match funding	Yes - 200 volunteer hours

Project description: The project would run ten winter warm-hub sessions with a hot meal, refreshments, activities, community transport support and food-hygiene training. Trustees and volunteers would deliver the programme from Tilney St Lawrence Village Hall.

Local need: Ageing, dispersed population with isolation, disability, unpaid caring and deprivation. The form cites an ageing and dispersed population, 271 older single-person households, disability, unpaid caring and household deprivation.	Community need / evidence: Census and public health data provide evidence of need. The form cites an ageing and dispersed population, 271 older single-person households, disability, unpaid caring and household deprivation.
Community benefit: Older residents, people living alone, disabled people, unpaid carers and others with limited social contact would gain a warm and welcoming local meeting place.	Difference the project makes: Expected outcomes are reduced loneliness, improved wellbeing, stronger friendships and better awareness of support services.
Measures of success: Monthly attendance, budget, attendee feedback, delivery-team feedback and an April 2027 review would inform continuation.	Value for money: The form estimates roughly £12.50 per attendance for consumables and running costs, with equipment reusable in later years and 200 volunteer hours supporting delivery.
Rural balance: The project directly serves dispersed rural villages and includes community transport to address access barriers.	Fair distribution: Neighbouring communities would be invited on the same basis as the host village.

44. Walpole Community Centre Cladding Repairs

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Julian Kirk	Ward / area	Walsoken, West Walton and Walpole
Project cost	£9,450	Amount requested	£9,450
People benefiting	5,000	Match funding	No

Project description: The charity seeks full funding to supply and install fibre-cement cladding, trims, flashings and fixings to stop water ingress. Trustees would oversee the contractor and subsequent inspection.

Local need: Leaks threaten the fabric and continued use of the centre. Weekly user groups have reported leaks; delay could lead to further damage and disruption to the centre.	Community need / evidence: Weekly user groups have reported water entering the building. Weekly user groups have reported leaks; delay could lead to further damage and disruption to the centre.
Community benefit: Residents and organisations from Walpole, Walsoken, West Walton and surrounding villages use the venue for meetings, activities and events.	Difference the project makes: Repairing the cladding would keep the centre dry and available and reduce the risk of more extensive future damage.
Measures of success: Completion records, invoices, photographs, inspections after heavy rain, leak reports and continued bookings would be monitored.	Value for money: A written £9,450 quote covers a complete targeted installation. No match funding is offered, and the full award is requested.
Rural balance: The centre is a shared hub for several rural villages with limited alternative venues.	Fair distribution: The works protect a facility used across the ward rather than benefiting a single group.

45. Walsingham Way Pilgrim Guide

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Deborah Heneghan	Ward / area	King's Lynn and borough-wide route
Project cost	£4,560	Amount requested	£3,069
People benefiting	1,000	Match funding	Potential £1,500

Project description: The Walsingham Way Group would design and print a guide for the pilgrimage route launched in June 2026, beginning at King's Lynn Minster and passing through West Norfolk. Guides would be available at the Minster, Tourist Information Centre and potentially route churches.

Local need: The new route needs accessible information to support use and heritage awareness. Letters of support are the main evidence cited. The application links the guide to King's Lynn heritage, walking and wellbeing.	Community need / evidence: Letters of support accompany the application. Letters of support are the main evidence cited. The application links the guide to King's Lynn heritage, walking and wellbeing.
Community benefit: Walkers, churches, cafés, residents and visitors would benefit through greater route awareness and visitor activity.	Difference the project makes: The guide would add an active heritage offer and strengthen links between King's Lynn, rural communities and Walsingham.
Measures of success: Guide take-up and a user survey included in the publication would be used.	Value for money: The applicant describes a simple product with ongoing heritage and tourism value. The potential £1,500 contribution was unconfirmed and no separate quotation was uploaded in the budget section, although a quotation appears in supporting documents.
Rural balance: The route passes through South and North Wootton, Castle Rising, Sandringham, Anmer and Great Bircham.	Fair distribution: Distribution through churches and outlets along the route would spread the benefit across several communities.

46. Wereham Village Hall Sustainability Projects

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Sue Lintern	Ward / area	Wissey
Project cost	£47,205	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	500	Match funding	Yes - £1,000 Parish Council plus volunteers

Project description: The bid combines solar panels and battery storage, three retractable patio awnings and a glazed entrance canopy. The elements can be phased, with solar identified as the first priority.

Local need: The hall is the main village hub and needs lower costs, better access and greater income potential. Wereham has no shop, school or regular public transport, making the Passivhaus village hall an important social hub. Feedback from volunteers and users supports improved sustainability, space and access.	Community need / evidence: Community consultation, users, volunteers and support letters evidence need. Wereham has no shop, school or regular public transport, making the Passivhaus village hall an important social hub. Feedback from volunteers and users supports improved sustainability, space and access.
Community benefit: Current and future users, hirers, older people and attendees at activities ranging from bingo and cinema to exercise and computer support would benefit.	Difference the project makes: Solar should reduce energy costs; awnings could increase all-weather capacity and hire income; the canopy would improve protection and access.
Measures of success: Usage, attendance, income, energy generation, savings and user feedback would be monitored.	Value for money: The three elements total £47,205 and the grant would fund part of a phased programme. £1,000 is confirmed from the Parish Council, with volunteers and further fund searches supporting delivery.
Rural balance: The hall is a focal point in a small rural village with few alternative services.	Fair distribution: The improvements support a broad mix of residents and users and aim to sustain an established facility for the wider Wissey area.

47. Wretton Village Play Area

COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Sue Lintern	Ward / area	Wissey
Project cost	£97,388	Amount requested	£25,000
People benefiting	2,786 wider ward estimate	Match funding	Yes - £3,962.32 reserve plus volunteers

Project description: The Parish Council proposes replacement of a 20-year-old play area with fencing, gates, surfacing, seating, bins, age-appropriate and accessible equipment, a trim trail and teen shelter. A competitive tender would follow confirmation of land tenure.

Local need: Existing equipment is deteriorating and may need removal; no accessible alternative is nearby. Independent inspection, photographs, council records and a resident survey show deterioration, safety concerns and continued demand. The nearest alternative is not accessible on foot or by usable public transport.	Community need / evidence: Resident survey, inspection reports, photographs and council records. Independent inspection, photographs, council records and a resident survey show deterioration, safety concerns and continued demand. The nearest alternative is not accessible on foot or by usable public transport.
Community benefit: Children aged roughly 1 to 14, parents, grandparents, carers and visiting families would benefit, with inclusive equipment for physical and sensory needs.	Difference the project makes: The scheme would avoid loss of the village's only play facility and provide safe, inclusive outdoor activity for around 20 years.
Measures of success: A comparable follow-up survey, accessible-equipment observations, annual independent inspections and public feedback would be used.	Value for money: The Council will tender competitively, has included contingency and contributes £3,962.32 plus limited CIL and volunteer maintenance. The £25,000 award is intended as an anchor for further applications.
Rural balance: Wretton has no shop or pub, limited public transport and little CIL or precept capacity.	Fair distribution: Although direct numbers are modest, the facility reaches a high proportion of local families and is one of very few shared village assets.

48. YMCA Norfolk - Unlocking Potential, Building Belonging
COMMUNITY PROJECTS FUND - APPLICATION SUMMARY

Councillor	Austen Moore	Ward / area	North Lynn
Project cost	£5,000	Amount requested	£5,000
People benefiting	23	Match funding	In-kind staff, facilities and partner support

Project description: The one-year programme for supported-housing residents aged 18 to 25 combines community outings, outdoor pursuits, creative and wellbeing activity, life-skills workshops, safety and healthy-relationship sessions, and a resident garden.

Local need: Young adults affected by homelessness, trauma and hardship face isolation and financial barriers. Consultation with 56 young people identified cost, transport, anxiety, fear of judgement and lack of awareness as barriers. Residents often have histories of homelessness, trauma, family breakdown and poor mental health.	Community need / evidence: Consultation with 56 residents and prior activity experience evidence need. Consultation with 56 young people identified cost, transport, anxiety, fear of judgement and lack of awareness as barriers. Residents often have histories of homelessness, trauma, family breakdown and poor mental health.
Community benefit: Approximately 23 residents would gain experiences, practical skills, social networks and links to community venues and providers.	Difference the project makes: The project aims to build confidence, wellbeing, independence and readiness for education, training, volunteering or employment.
Measures of success: Attendance, baseline and follow-up outcomes, quarterly review, CRM records, feedback, milestones and case studies would be used.	Value for money: At about £217 per direct beneficiary, the grant funds a year-long programme, while staff coordination, safeguarding, facilities and partner input are provided in kind.
Rural balance: This is not a rural project; its case rests on deprivation and disadvantage in North Lynn.	Fair distribution: It targets young adults with substantial barriers who may otherwise be excluded from mainstream opportunities.

Community Project Fund

How to Submit a Strong Application – Applicant Guide

This guide is designed to help applicants prepare high-quality applications that clearly demonstrate the value, need, and impact of the proposed project. Strong applications are more likely to score well and secure funding.

1. Understand the Fund Purpose

The Community Project Fund exists to support community-led projects that improve local facilities, enhance wellbeing and strengthen community resilience across the borough. Projects should deliver clear and measurable community benefit and respond to an identified local need.

Projects may be ***capital or revenue in nature***:

- Capital projects may include equipment, building improvements, refurbishments, play areas, sports facilities or other community infrastructure.
- Revenue projects may include activities, programmes, events, running costs or operational initiatives that provide community benefit. Revenue grants are normally limited to £5,000.
- The maximum grant award for any project is £25,000.

Your application will be stronger if the project:

- Responds to a clear local need supported by evidence
- Demonstrates community engagement or consultation
- Benefits a broad section of the community
- Represents good value for money
- Is realistic and deliverable by 31 March 2028
- Supports areas with fewer funding opportunities, particularly rural communities
- Provides benefits that will continue beyond the initial funding period.

2. Check Eligibility Early

Before drafting the application, ensure both the applicant and project are eligible.

Eligible applicants include:

- Constituted community associations and voluntary organisations
- Parish and town councils (subject to fund restrictions)
- Charities
- Social enterprises
- Community interest companies – these must have at least two unrelated directors who have been in office for a minimum of one year
- Other not-for-profit organisations operating within the borough.

Applications will not normally be supported from:

- Commercial or profit-making organisations
- Other statutory organisations
- Organisations receiving a Service Level Agreement from the Borough Council
- Parish or town councils with access to relevant CIL funding for the proposed project
- Parish or town councils with substantial unrestricted reserves.

Eligible projects will:

- Deliver clear community benefit
- Be deliverable by 31 March 2028
- Demonstrate local need or community engagement
- Comply with any relevant planning or regulatory requirements
- Where a lease is in place for any asset (land, buildings etc), this must have a minimum remaining term of 10 years.

Projects will not normally be eligible if they:

- Are already fully funded elsewhere
- Seek retrospective funding for costs already incurred
- Relate to statutory services provided by another organisation
- Cover maintenance that would normally form part of an organisation's core responsibilities.

If you are unsure whether a proposal meets the criteria, seek advice before submitting the application.

3. Build a Clear Case for Community Need

Projects with strong evidence of community need score highest. To strengthen your application:

Gather supporting evidence:

- Feedback from residents or user groups
- Parish/town council consultation responses
- Surveys or petitions
- Local statistics demonstrating need
- Letters of support

Clearly explain:

- What problem the project addresses
- Who is affected and how
- Why the community cannot fund or deliver this without support

The panel is looking for clarity and evidence, not assumptions.

4. Demonstrate Community Benefit and Impact

Make it explicit how the project will make a difference.

Include:

- Who will benefit (e.g., older residents, families, young people, wider community)
- How many people are expected to benefit
- What measurable improvements the project will deliver
- How the project aligns with local priorities or community plans

High-impact, inclusive projects score best.

5. Provide a Clear Budget and Value-for-Money Case

Panels want to see that projects make effective use of public funds.

Strong applications should include:

- A clear and itemised budget
- Recent quotations or cost estimates where appropriate
- A clear explanation of why the proposal represents value for money
- Details of any match funding, volunteer contribution or in-kind support.

Whilst match funding is not mandatory, projects that attract funding from other sources or demonstrate significant community contribution often achieve stronger value-for-money scores because they maximise the impact of the fund.

Remember that councillors are encouraged to support a range of projects across their communities, and well-targeted smaller projects may represent particularly strong value for money.

6. Show Deliverability and Readiness

Applications should demonstrate that the project is realistic, achievable and capable of being delivered by **31 March 2028**.

Strengthen your application by including:

- A clear delivery plan with key milestones
- Confirmation of planning permission, building regulation approval or licences where required
- Clearly defined roles and responsibilities
- Identification of key risks and mitigating actions
- A realistic implementation timetable
- Evidence that the project is ready to proceed

Projects that are fully planned, costed and appropriately governed are likely to score more highly.

7. Address Rural Balance and Local Equity

The fund aims to ensure fair distribution across the borough.

Your application will be stronger if it:

- Supports a rural community with fewer funding options
- Addresses an area with historic under-investment
- Represents a fair distribution of your own councillor-sponsored bids

If the project supports rural parity or communities with limited resources, explain this clearly.

8. Demonstrate Financial Need (for Parish/Town Councils)

Where applicable, make sure the application clearly explains:

- Why parish or town council funds (including CIL) cannot be used
- Why reserves are not available or appropriate
- Why the parish precept cannot be increased to support the project
- What alternative sources were explored

Transparency here prevents delays and strengthens scoring.

9. Show Long-Term Sustainability

Sustainability and longer-term benefit represent the largest scoring element within the assessment framework, making this a particularly important part of the application.

While the fund may support one-off expenditure, applications that demonstrate an enduring legacy are likely to achieve higher scores.

Explain:

- How the project will be maintained following completion
- Who will take responsibility for the asset or activity
- What ongoing community benefits will be achieved
- How the proposal will remain viable beyond the initial funding period
- Whether the project will reduce future costs, risks or funding pressures

Projects with a clear and sustainable long-term impact are strongly encouraged.

10. How Applications Are Scored

Applications are assessed against a scoring framework totalling 100 points. The recommended approval threshold is 50 points, although the final decision rests with the assessment panel.

Assessment Area	Weighting
Community Need & Evidence	15
Community Benefit & Impact	15
Value for Money	15
Deliverability & Readiness	15
Rural Balance & Fair Distribution	10
Eligibility & Financial Position	10
Sustainability & Long-Term Benefit	20
TOTAL	100

Applications that are well evidenced, offer clear community benefit, represent good value for money and provide long-term impact are most likely to score highly.

11. Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

Successful applicants will be expected to demonstrate that funding has been used for its intended purpose.

This will normally include:

- A short project completion report
- Evidence of expenditure, such as invoices or receipts
- A summary of the community outcomes achieved
- Photographs or other supporting evidence where appropriate.

Applicants should therefore ensure that suitable monitoring arrangements are in place from the outset of the project.